REL: 11/02/07

Notice: This opinion is subject to formal revision before publication in the advance sheets of <u>Southern Reporter</u>. Readers are requested to notify the **Reporter of Decisions**, Alabama Appellate Courts, 300 Dexter Avenue, Montgomery, Alabama 36104-3741 ((334) 229-0649), of any typographical or other errors, in order that corrections may be made before the opinion is printed in <u>Southern Reporter</u>.

SUPREME COURT OF ALABAMA

OCTOBER TERM, 2007-2008

1051342

Melvin Ross Bush

v.

Alabama Department of Corrections

Appeal from St. Clair Circuit Court (CV-06-33)

SEE, Justice.

Melvin Ross Bush's appeal was originally filed with the Court of Civil Appeals. That court transferred the appeal to this Court on the basis that it lacked subject-matter jurisdiction over Bush's appeal. In an opinion released on 1051342

September 28, 2007, Collins v. Alabama Department of Corrections, [Ms. 1061637, September 28, 2007] So. 2d (Ala. 2007), this Court overruled Block v. Alabama Department of Corrections, 923 So. 2d 342 (Ala. Crim. App. 2005); Beck v. Alabama Board of Pardons & Paroles, 907 So. 2d 1096 (Ala. Crim. App. 2005); Jacobs v. Alabama Department of Corrections, 900 So. 2d 485 (Ala. Crim. App. 2004); Collins v. Alabama Department of Corrections, 911 So. 2d 739, 743 (Ala. Crim. App. 2004); McConico v. Alabama Department of Corrections, 893 So. 2d 577 (Ala. Crim. App. 2004); and Boykins v. State, 862 So. 2d 594 (Ala. Crim. App. 2003), to the extent that these cases hold that the Court of Criminal Appeals "'would have jurisdiction to review certiorari petitions challenging [Alabama Department of Correction] actions involving an inmate's conduct [while incarcerated], while the Court of Civil Appeals would have jurisdiction to review petitions challenging decisions based on factors other than the inmate's conduct [while incarcerated].'" <u>Collins</u>, So. 2d at (quoting McConico, 893 So. 2d at 581 n.5). We also held in Collins that the Court of Criminal Appeals has jurisdiction to review all certiorari petitions challenging actions by the

2

1051342

Department of Corrections, "regardless of when the relevant conduct of the inmate occurred." ____ So. 2d at ____. Based on our decision in <u>Collins</u>, we transfer Bush's appeal to the Court of Criminal Appeals.

APPEAL TRANSFERRED.

Woodall, Smith, Bolin, and Parker, JJ., concur. Cobb, C.J., and Murdock, J., recuse themselves.