Rel: 04/15/2016

Notice: This opinion is subject to formal revision before publication in the advance sheets of <u>Southern Reporter</u>. Readers are requested to notify the **Reporter of Decisions**, Alabama Appellate Courts, 300 Dexter Avenue, Montgomery, Alabama 36104-3741 ((334) 229-0649), of any typographical or other errors, in order that corrections may be made before the opinion is printed in <u>Southern Reporter</u>.

SUPREME COURT OF ALABAMA

OCTOBER TERM, 2015-2016

1150558

Ex parte James Shankles

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS

(In re: James Shankles

v.

Troy Moore and Kaci Rogers Moore)

(Etowah Circuit Court, CV-13-900064; Court of Civil Appeals, 2140521)

MAIN, Justice.

WRIT DENIED. NO OPINION.

Bolin, Shaw, and Bryan, JJ., concur.

Murdock, J., concurs specially.

1150558

MURDOCK, Justice (concurring specially).

Our cases well establish that an appellate court "<u>may</u> affirm a trial court's judgment on 'any <u>valid</u> legal ground presented by the record.'" <u>General Motors Corp. v. Stokes</u> <u>Chevrolet, Inc.</u>, 885 So. 2d 119, 124 (Ala. 2003) (quoting <u>Liberty Nat'l Life Ins. Co. v. University of Alabama Health</u> <u>Servs. Found. P.C.</u>, 881 So. 2d 1013, 1020 (Ala. 2003) (emphasis added)); see also <u>Spencer v. Malone Freight Lines</u>, <u>Inc.</u>, 292 Ala. 582, 589, 298 So. 2d 20, 25 (1974) (quoting with approval from 5 C.J.S. <u>Appeal and Error</u> § 1464(4) as follows: "'An appellate court ... <u>may</u> consider any other <u>legal</u> <u>ground</u> or <u>valid reason</u> for the judgment and affirm the judgment where it is correct on any legal ground, even though the ground or reason stated by the lower court is erroneous.'" (emphasis added)).

In <u>Pavilion Development, L.L.C. v. JBJ P'ship</u>, 979 So. 2d 24 (Ala. 2007), Justice Lyons dissented. <u>Pavilion Dev.,</u> <u>L.L.C.</u>, 979 So. 2d at 44 (Lyons, J., dissenting). He did so based on his proposal that, under <u>Fogarty v. Southworth</u>, 953 So. 2d 1225 (Ala. 2006), every ground that was asserted by the prevailing party in the trial court, i.e., by the appellee,

2

1150558

and that ultimately is considered by a majority of the judges or justices of the appellate court not to be "frivolous," must be raised and defeated in the appellant's initial brief on appeal, else the appellant automatically loses the appeal because of a supposed "waiver" of any contest of the omitted ground. Six Justices concurring in the analysis of the main opinion, and a seventh Justice concurring in the result, indicated, among other things, an unwillingness to depart from the aforesaid central tenet of appellate review that an appellate court <u>may</u> affirm a trial court on any <u>valid</u> legal ground. <u>Pavilion Dev., L.L.C.; Pavilion Dev., L.L.C.</u>, 979 So. 2d at 37 (See, J., concurring specially); <u>Pavilion Dev.,</u> <u>L.L.C.</u>, 979 So. 2d at 41 (Murdock, J., concurring specially).

In the present case, a question exists regarding the "validity" of Troy and Kaci Rogers Moore's negligence and wantonness claims in the face of the invocation by James Shankles of a defense based on this Court's holding in <u>Foremost Insurance Co. v. Parham</u>, 693 So. 2d 409, 417 (Ala. 1997). Nonetheless, I concur in denying certiorari review because Shankles, the petitioner, raises no issue as to the manner in which the Court of Civil Appeals invokes <u>Fogarty</u> to

3

find a "wavier" by the defendant of the <u>Foremost</u> issue as it relates to those particular claims.