NOTICE: THIS DECISION DOES NOT CREATE LEGAL PRECEDENT AND MAY NOT BE CITED EXCEPT AS AUTHORIZED BY APPLICABLE RULES.

See Ariz. R. Supreme Court 111(c); ARCAP 28(c); Ariz. R. Crim. P. 31.24

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS STATE OF ARIZONA DIVISION ONE

DIVISION ONE
FILED: 10/17/2013
RUTH A. WILLINGHAM,
CLERK
BY:GH

STATE OF ARIZONA,)	1 CA-CR 12-0569-PR
Res	pondent,)	DEPARTMENT C
V.)	MEMORANDUM DECISION
JOSEPH LEE CONLEY,)	(Not for Publication - Rule 111, Rules of the
Pet	itioner.)	Arizona Supreme Court)

Petition for Review from the Superior Court of Maricopa County

Cause No. CR2004-035015-001

The Honorable David K. Udall, Judge

REVIEW GRANTED; RELIEF DENIED

Joseph Lee Conley Pro Se

Tucson

PER CURIAM

A jury convicted petitioner Joseph Lee Conley of first degree murder and two counts of burglary. The superior court sentenced Conley to natural life for murder and concurrent terms of seven years' imprisonment for both counts of burglary. This court affirmed his convictions and sentences on direct appeal in

State v. Conley, 1 CA-CR 07-0542 (Ariz. App. Jan. 2, 2009) (mem. dec.). Conley now seeks review of the summary dismissal of his successive notice of post-conviction relief pursuant to Arizona Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.9(c). This court reviews the summary dismissal of a notice of post-conviction for abuse of discretion. State v. Watton, 164 Ariz. 323, 325, 793 P.2d 80, 82 (1990).

In his petition for review, Conley argues he entitled to be resentenced to less than natural life pursuant to Miller v. Alabama, 132 S. Ct. 2455 (2012). Conley argues Miller constitutes a significant change in the law and prohibits the imposition of natural life for juvenile offenders. Conley committed the offenses just before his eighteenth birthday. Miller did not ban the imposition of sentences to life without the possibility of parole for juveniles. Rather, Miller held of life-without-parole for mandatory sentences iuvenile offenders violated the Eighth Amendment. Id. at 2464. Because Conley's sentence to natural life was not mandatory, he has failed state а colorable claim for to

Conley's petition for review and denies relief.				
/S/RANDALL M. HOWE, Presiding Judge				
RANDALL M. HOWE, Presiding Judge				
/S/				
/S/				
SAMUEL A. THUMMA, Judge				

¶3 For these reasons, this court grants review of