

NOTICE: NOT FOR OFFICIAL PUBLICATION.  
UNDER ARIZONA RULE OF THE SUPREME COURT 111(c), THIS DECISION IS NOT PRECEDENTIAL  
AND MAY BE CITED ONLY AS AUTHORIZED BY RULE.

IN THE  
**ARIZONA COURT OF APPEALS**  
DIVISION ONE

---

STATE OF ARIZONA, *Respondent*,

*v.*

JENGHIZ K. STEWART, *Petitioner*.

No. 1 CA-CR 21-0055 PRPC  
FILED 8-24-2021

---

Petition for Review from the Superior Court in Maricopa County  
No. CR 1996-005461  
The Honorable Justin Beresky, Judge

**REVIEW GRANTED; RELIEF DENIED**

---

APPEARANCES

Maricopa County Attorney's Office, Phoenix  
By Amanda M. Parker  
*Counsel for Respondent*

Jenghiz K. Stewart, Kingman  
*Petitioner*

STATE v. STEWART  
Decision of the Court

---

**MEMORANDUM DECISION**

Presiding Judge Jennifer B. Campbell, Judge Samuel A. Thumma, and Chief Judge Kent E. Cattani delivered the decision of the Court.

---

**PER CURIAM:**

¶1 Petitioner Jenghiz K. Stewart seeks review of the superior court's order denying his latest successive petition for post-conviction relief.

¶2 Absent an abuse of discretion or error of law, this Court will not disturb a superior court's ruling on a petition for post-conviction relief. *State v. Gutierrez*, 229 Ariz. 573, 577, ¶ 19 (2012). It is petitioner's burden to show that the superior court abused its discretion by denying the petition for post-conviction relief. *See State v. Poblete*, 227 Ariz. 537, 538, ¶ 1 (App. 2011) (petitioner has burden of establishing abuse of discretion on review).

¶3 We have reviewed the record in this matter, the superior court's order denying the petition for post-conviction relief, and the petition for review. We find that petitioner has not established an abuse of discretion.

¶4 We grant review but deny relief.



AMY M. WOOD • Clerk of the Court  
FILED: AA