



IN THE COURT OF APPEALS
STATE OF ARIZONA
DIVISION ONE

DIVISION ONE
FILED: 01/19/2010
PHILIP G. URRY, CLERK
BY: RWillingham

STATE OF ARIZONA,) No. 1 CA-CV 09-0059
) 1 CA-CV 09-0060
 Appellee,) 1 CA-CV 09-0061
) 1 CA-CV 09-0062
 v.) 1 CA-CV 09-0159
) (Consolidated)
 EASY BAIL BONDS, LLC. and SAFETY)
 NATIONAL CASUALTY CORPORATION,) Maricopa County
) Superior Court
 Appellants.) No. CR2007-148039-001 DT
) CR2007-134177-001 DT
) CR2007-136285-001 SE
) CR2007-102071-001 DT
) CR2002-099360
)
) DEPARTMENT B
)
) **DECISION ORDER**
)
)
)
)
)
)

This matter came on for conference on January 5, 2010, before Presiding Judge Patricia K. Norris and Judges Daniel A. Barker and Peter B. Swann participating. The State contends this court lacks jurisdiction over this consolidated appeal and accordingly requests dismissal. We also have an independent duty to determine whether this court has jurisdiction. *Sorensen v. Farmers Ins. Co.*, 191 Ariz. 464, 465, 957 P.2d 1007, 1008 (App. 1997).

The signed judgments entered on March 11, 2008; March 25, 2008; May 6, 2008; May 13, 2008; and June 3, 2008 were appealable orders, and the last days for filing timely appeals from the judgments were respectively April 10, 2008; April 24, 2008; June 5, 2008; June 12, 2008; and July 3, 2008. The motions for reconsideration filed by appellants on September 9, 2008 and October 30, 2008 did not extend the time for filing appeals from the judgments. Thus, the notices of appeal filed on December 16, 2008 and February 3, 2009 were untimely. An order denying a motion for reconsideration is not appealable unless the motion meets certain requirements that are not met here. See *Arvizu v. Fernandez*, 183 Ariz. 224, 226-27, 902 P.2d 830, 832-33 (App. 1995); *Matter of Balcomb's Estate*, 114 Ariz. 519, 522, 562 P.2d 399, 402 (App. 1977); *Cf. Lopez-Hudson v. Schneider*, 188 Ariz. 407, 409-10, 937 P.2d 329, 331-32 (App. 1996) (untimely motion for new trial could be treated as one for relief from judgment making it appealable if motion set forth basis recognized by Rule 60(c)). Therefore,

IT IS ORDERED dismissing this consolidated appeal for lack of jurisdiction.

DATED this _____ day of _____, 2010.

/S/

PETER B. SWANN, Judge