

NOTICE: THIS DECISION DOES NOT CREATE LEGAL PRECEDENT AND MAY NOT BE CITED  
EXCEPT AS AUTHORIZED BY APPLICABLE RULES.  
See Ariz. R. Supreme Court 111(c); ARCAP 28(c);  
Ariz. R. Crim. P. 31.24

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS  
STATE OF ARIZONA  
DIVISION ONE



DIVISION ONE  
FILED: 05/20/10  
PHILIP G. URRY, CLERK  
BY: JT

IN RE MICHAEL L. )  
)  
) 1 CA-JV 10-0047  
)  
) DEPARTMENT A  
)  
) **MEMORANDUM DECISION**  
) (Not for Publication -  
) Ariz. R.P. Juv. Ct. 103(G);  
) ARCAP 28)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)

---

Appeal from the Superior Court in Maricopa County

Cause No. JV-173326

The Honorable Aimee L. Anderson, Judge

**AFFIRMED**

---

Richard M. Romley, Maricopa County Attorney Phoenix  
By Jeffrey W. Trudgian, Appeals Bureau Chief/  
Deputy County Attorney  
Attorneys for Appellee

James J. Haas, Maricopa County Public Defender Phoenix  
By Eleanor S. Terpstra, Deputy Public Defender  
Attorneys for Appellant

---

P O R T L E Y, Judge

¶1 Michael L. ("Juvenile") appeals his adjudication and disposition. Juvenile's counsel has filed a brief in accordance with *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 744 (1967), and *Maricopa County Juvenile Action No. JV-117258*, 163 Ariz. 484, 485-87, 788 P.2d 1235, 1236-38 (App. 1989), advising this court that after a search of the entire record on appeal, she finds no arguable ground for reversal. Counsel requests that we search the record for fundamental error. See *Anders*, 386 U.S. at 744; *State v. Clark*, 196 Ariz. 530, 537, ¶ 30, 2 P.3d 89, 96 (App. 1999).

¶2 We have jurisdiction pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes ("A.R.S.") section 8-235 (2007), and Arizona Rule of Procedure for the Juvenile Court 103.

#### FACTS<sup>1</sup>

¶3 Juvenile was adjudicated delinquent of possession of marijuana and endangerment on April 3, 2009, both misdemeanors, and placed on standard probation. The State filed a delinquency petition charging him with five counts of violating his probation on February 1, 2010. He subsequently admitted to one of the counts pursuant to a plea agreement<sup>2</sup> on February 16, 2010,

---

<sup>1</sup> We review the facts in the light most favorable to sustaining the adjudication. See *In re John M.*, 201 Ariz. 424, 426, ¶ 7, 36 P.3d 772, 774 (App. 2001).

<sup>2</sup> The remaining counts were dismissed.

and the juvenile court again placed him on standard probation. The court affirmed the terms of its prior disposition; appointed a guardian ad litem; and ordered that Juvenile submit to a psychological evaluation, be detained for thirty days, and submit to the JETS program for four weeks after his detention.

#### **DISCUSSION**

¶14 We have read and considered counsel's brief and have searched the entire record for reversible error. See *JV-117258*, 163 Ariz. at 488, 788 P.2d at 1239. We find none. All of the proceedings were conducted in compliance with the Arizona Rules of Procedure for the Juvenile Court. So far as the record reveals, Juvenile was represented by counsel at all stages of the proceedings, and the disposition imposed was within the statutory limits. See A.R.S. § 8-341 (Supp. 2009). Finding no reversible error, we affirm.

#### **CONCLUSION**

¶15 After the filing of this decision, counsel's obligations pertaining to Juvenile's representation in this appeal have ended. Counsel need do no more than inform him of the status of the appeal and his future options. See *State v. Shattuck*, 140 Ariz. 582, 584-85, 684 P.2d 154, 156-57 (1984).

¶6 Accordingly, we affirm the adjudication and disposition.

/s/

---

MAURICE PORTLEY, Presiding Judge

CONCURRING:

/s/

---

LAWRENCE F. WINTHROP, Judge

/s/

---

MARGARET H. DOWNIE, Judge