

**NOTICE: THIS DECISION DOES NOT CREATE LEGAL PRECEDENT AND  
MAY NOT BE CITED EXCEPT AS AUTHORIZED BY APPLICABLE RULES.**  
*See Ariz. R. Supreme Court 111(c); ARCAP 28(c); Ariz. R. Crim. P. 31.24.*

FILED BY CLERK

MAR 23 2012

COURT OF APPEALS  
DIVISION TWO

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS  
STATE OF ARIZONA  
DIVISION TWO

KENNETH NOBLES,	)	
	)	
Petitioner/Appellant,	)	2 CA-CV 2011-0138
	)	DEPARTMENT A
v.	)	<u>MEMORANDUM DECISION</u>
	)	Not for Publication
AMBER OGLESBY,	)	Rule 28, Rules of Civil
	)	Appellate Procedure
Respondent/Appellee.	)	
_____	)	

APPEAL FROM THE SUPERIOR COURT OF PIMA COUNTY

Cause No. SP20101381

Honorable Deborah S. Ward, Judge Pro Tempore

AFFIRMED

Kenneth Nobles

Tucson  
In Propria Persona

ECKERSTROM, Presiding Judge.

¶1 Appellant Kenneth Nobles appeals from the trial court's denial of his petition to modify child support. Nobles, who appears before this court *in propria persona*, raises a number of complaints relating to child support and parenting time. In his opening brief, however, he omits a statement of issues presented for review, and he

provides no citations to the record or to any legal authorities to support his contentions on appeal.

¶2 “Parties who choose to represent themselves ‘are entitled to no more consideration than if they had been represented by counsel’ and are held to the same standards as attorneys with respect to ‘familiarity with required procedures and . . . notice of statutes and local rules.’” *In re Marriage of Williams*, 219 Ariz. 546, ¶ 13, 200 P.3d 1043, 1046 (App. 2008), quoting *Smith v. Rabb*, 95 Ariz. 49, 53, 386 P.2d 649, 652 (1963) (omission in *Williams*). Due to Nobles’s failure to comply with Rule 13(a)(4) and (6), Ariz. R. Civ. App. P., he has waived any assignment of error. See *Spillios v. Green*, 137 Ariz. 443, 447, 671 P.2d 421, 425 (App. 1983); cf. *State v. Bolton*, 182 Ariz. 290, 298, 896 P.2d 830, 838 (1995) (finding waiver under analogous rule of criminal procedure based on “argument [being] insufficient for appellate review”).

¶3 The trial court’s order entered December 6, 2010, is affirmed.

/s/ Peter J. Eckerstrom

PETER J. ECKERSTROM, Presiding Judge

CONCURRING:

/s/ Joseph W. Howard

JOSEPH W. HOWARD, Chief Judge

/s/ J. William Brammer, Jr.

J. WILLIAM BRAMMER, JR., Judge