# IN THE ARIZONA COURT OF APPEALS

DIVISION TWO

IN RE A.B.

No. 2 CA-JV 2015-0190 Filed March 10, 2016

This Decision Does Not Create Legal Precedent And May Not Be Cited Except As Authorized By Applicable Rules.

Not For Publication

See Ariz. R. Sup. Ct. 111(c)(1); Ariz. R. Civ. App. P. 28(a)(1), (f);

Ariz. R. P. Juv. Ct. 103(G).

Appeal from the Superior Court in Pima County No. JV20150215 The Honorable Gilbert Rosales Jr., Judge Pro Tempore

### APPEAL DISMISSED

COUNSEL

Barbara LaWall, Pima County Attorney By Jacob R. Lines, Deputy County Attorney, Tucson Counsel for State

Uri G. Palmer, Tucson *Counsel for Minor* 

## IN RE A.B. Decision of the Court

\_\_\_\_

#### **MEMORANDUM DECISION**

Chief Judge Eckerstrom authored the decision of the Court, in which Presiding Judge Vásquez and Judge Miller concurred.

\_\_\_\_\_

### E C K E R S T R O M, Chief Judge:

¶1 A.B. appeals from the juvenile court's October 2015 under-advisement ruling adjudicating him delinquent on two counts of aggravated assault and stating its intention to schedule a disposition hearing. Because the court's adjudication of delinquency is not a final order, we lack jurisdiction to consider the appeal and, on our own motion, we dismiss it. *See In re Alton D.*, 196 Ariz. 195, ¶ 8, 994 P.2d 402, 404 (2000) (appeal may not be taken "[u]ntil a final order is entered"); *In re Maricopa Cty. Juv. Action No. J-74222*, 20 Ariz. App. 570, 571, 514 P.2d 741, 742 (1973) (dismissing, as premature, appeal from adjudication of delinquency and holding disposition order "is the only final order subject to appeal").

¶2 Accordingly, this appeal is dismissed for lack of jurisdiction.