

IN THE
ARIZONA COURT OF APPEALS
DIVISION TWO

THE STATE OF ARIZONA,
Appellee,

v.

CRISTOBAL CARRIZOZA JR.,
Appellant.

No. 2 CA-CR 2023-0216
Filed April 30, 2024

THIS DECISION DOES NOT CREATE LEGAL PRECEDENT AND
MAY NOT BE CITED EXCEPT AS AUTHORIZED BY APPLICABLE RULES.
NOT FOR PUBLICATION
See Ariz. R. Sup. Ct. 111(c)(1); Ariz. R. Crim. P. 31.19(e).

Appeal from the Superior Court in Maricopa County
No. CR2021114445002DT
The Honorable Eartha K. Washington, Judge Pro Tempore

AFFIRMED

COUNSEL

The Law Offices of Kyle T. Green P.L.L.C., Tempe
By Kyle Green
Counsel for Appellant

STATE v. CARRIZOZA
Decision of the Court

MEMORANDUM DECISION

Chief Judge Vásquez authored the decision of the Court, in which Presiding Judge Eppich and Judge Gard concurred.

V Á S Q U E Z, Chief Judge:

¶1 After a jury trial, appellant Cristobal Carrizoza Jr. was convicted of aggravated robbery. The trial court sentenced him to 11.25 years' imprisonment.

¶2 On appeal, counsel has filed a brief in compliance with *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and *State v. Leon*, 104 Ariz. 297 (1969), asserting he "searched the record on appeal" but found "[n]o arguable question of law." Consistent with *State v. Clark*, 196 Ariz. 530, ¶ 30 (App. 1999), counsel has provided a factual and procedural history of the case with citations to the record and has asked this court to search the record for reversible error. Carrizoza has not filed a supplemental brief.

¶3 Viewed in the light most favorable to affirming the verdict, see *State v. Holle*, 240 Ariz. 300, ¶ 2 (2016), the evidence is sufficient here, see A.R.S. §§ 13-301, 13-1902, 13-1903. In April 2021, Carrizoza and an accomplice confronted D.W., assaulted him, and took a hammer and his wallet before running away. The sentence imposed is within the statutory range. See A.R.S. §§ 13-703(C), (J), 13-1903(B).

¶4 Pursuant to our obligation under *Anders*, we have searched the record for reversible error and have found none. See *State v. Fuller*, 143 Ariz. 571, 575 (1985). Accordingly, we affirm Carrizoza's conviction and sentence.