NOTICE: THIS DECISION DOES NOT CREATE LEGAL PRECEDENT AND MAY NOT BE CITED EXCEPT AS AUTHORIZED BY APPLICABLE RULES. *See* Ariz. R. Supreme Court 111(c); ARCAP 28(c); Ariz. R. Crim. P. 31.24

FILED BY CLERK
SEP 29 2011
COURT OF APPEALS

DIVISION TWO

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS STATE OF ARIZONA DIVISION TWO

THE STATE OF ARIZONA,)	2 CA-CR 2011-0047 DEPARTMENT B
	Appellee,)	DEFARTMENT B
)	MEMORANDUM DECISION
v.)	Not for Publication
)	Rule 111, Rules of
EMANUEL PIMENTEL,)	the Supreme Court
)	
	Appellant.)	
		_)	

APPEAL FROM THE SUPERIOR COURT OF PIMA COUNTY

Cause No. CR20101116001

Honorable Michael Miller, Judge

AFFIRMED

Robert J. Hirsh, Pima County Public Defender By Lisa M. Hise

Tucson Attorneys for Appellant

V Á S Q U E Z, Presiding Judge.

After a jury trial, appellant Emanuel Pimentel was convicted of attempted second degree murder and two counts of aggravated assault. The trial court imposed presumptive, concurrent terms of imprisonment, the longest of which was 10.5 years. Counsel has filed a brief in compliance with *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), *State v. Leon*, 104 Ariz. 297, 451 P.2d 878 (1969), and *State v. Clark*, 196 Ariz. 530, 2

P.3d 89 (1999), stating she has reviewed the record and has found no "arguably

meritorious issue to raise on appeal." Counsel has asked us to search the record for

fundamental error. Pimentel has not filed a supplemental brief.

 $\P 2$ Viewed in the light most favorable to sustaining the verdict, the evidence

was sufficient to support the jury's finding of guilt. See State v. Tamplin, 195 Ariz. 246,

¶ 2, 986 P.2d 914, 914 (App. 1999). The evidence presented at trial showed Pimentel had

shot the victim in the neck, pushed him out of the truck the two had been in, and ran over

him with the truck. We further conclude the sentences imposed are within the statutory

limits.

Pursuant to our obligation under Anders, we have searched the record for **¶3**

fundamental, reversible error and have found none. Therefore, we affirm Pimentel's

convictions and sentences.

/s/ Garye L. Vásquez GARYE L. VÁSQUEZ, Presiding Judge

CONCURRING:

/s/ Philip G. Espinosa PHILIP G. ESPINOSA, Judge

/s/ Virginia C. Kelly

VIRGINIA C. KELLY, Judge

2