NOTICE: THIS DECISION DOES NOT CREATE LEGAL PRECEDENT AND MAY NOT BE CITED EXCEPT AS AUTHORIZED BY APPLICABLE RULES. See Ariz. R. Supreme Court 111(c); ARCAP 28(c); Ariz. R. Crim. P. 31.24.

FILED BY CLERK JULY 24 2009 COURT OF APPEALS DIVISION TWO

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS STATE OF ARIZONA DIVISION TWO

)

)

)

THE STATE OF ARIZONA,

v.

WILLIE JOE BELL,

Appellant.

Appellee,

2 CA-CR 2008-0374 DEPARTMENT A

MEMORANDUM DECISION Not for Publication Rule 111, Rules of the Supreme Court

APPEAL FROM THE SUPERIOR COURT OF PIMA COUNTY

Cause No. CR-20074068

Honorable Richard S. Fields, Judge

AFFIRMED

DiCampli, Elsberry & Hunley, LLC By Anne Elsberry

Tucson Attorneys for Appellant

H O W A R D, Chief Judge.

¶1 Appellant Willie Joe Bell was charged with possession of a deadly weapon by a prohibited possessor, possession of drug paraphernalia, and two counts of possession of a narcotic drug for sale. A jury found him guilty of possession of drug paraphernalia, a class six felony, and two counts of possession of a narcotic drug, a lesser-included offense of

possession of a narcotic drug for sale, class four felonies. The prohibited possessor count was dismissed. The trial court suspended the imposition of sentence and placed Bell on three years' probation, to be served consecutively to a term of imprisonment imposed in another matter. Counsel has filed a brief pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967); *State v. Leon*, 104 Ariz. 297, 451 P.2d 878 (1969); and *State v. Clark*, 196 Ariz. 530, 2 P.3d 89 (App. 1999), stating she has conscientiously reviewed the record without finding any arguably meritorious issues for appeal. She asks us to search the record for fundamental error. Bell did not file a supplemental brief.

 $\P 2$ We have reviewed the record in its entirety, viewing the evidence in the light most favorable to upholding the verdicts, and find that it contains sufficient evidence to support Bell's convictions. *See State v. Tamplin*, 195 Ariz. 246, $\P 2$, 986 P.2d 914, 914 (App. 1999). Pursuant to our obligation under *Anders*, we have searched the record for fundamental, reversible error and have found none. Therefore, we affirm Bell's convictions and the court's imposition of probation.

JOSEPH W. HOWARD, Chief Judge

CONCURRING:

PHILIP G. ESPINOSA, Presiding Judge

JOHN PELANDER, Judge