

ARKANSAS SUPREME COURT

No. CR 07-269

ALFONZO HENDRIX
Appellant

v.

STATE OF ARKANSAS
Appellee

Opinion Delivered April 24, 2008

PRO SE PETITION FOR REHEARING
[CIRCUIT COURT OF NEVADA
COUNTY, CR 2004-35, HON. KEITH N.
WOOD, JUDGE]

PETITION DENIED.

PER CURIAM

Now before us is appellant's pro se petition for rehearing. On March 13, 2008, this court affirmed the trial court's denial of appellant's petition pursuant to Ark. R. Crim. P. 37.1. *Hendrix v. State*, CR 07-269 (Ark. Mar. 13, 2008) (per curiam).

Appellant has failed to meet his burden of demonstrating that there was some error of fact or law in the decision that would merit a rehearing pursuant to Ark. Sup. Ct. R. 2-3. In the instant petition, appellant reiterates the same grounds advanced in the appeal from the trial court's decision, and again recites the underlying facts that he contends will support his claim of innocence. A petition for rehearing is not intended to allow the petitioner to merely repeat the arguments already made to the court. Ark. Sup. Ct. R. 2-3(g).

In addition, appellant raises new complaints, including an allegation that Rule 37.1 does not provide actual relief to petitioners. New arguments will not be considered in a petition for rehearing. *Pannell v. State*, 320 Ark. 390, 897 S.W.2d 552 (1995).

Petition denied.

Gunter, J., not participating.