ARKANSAS SUPREME COURT

No. CR 07-312

	Opinion Delivered **December 6, 2007**
MONROE WHITE Appellant	PRO SE PETITION FOR REHEARING [CIRCUIT COURT OF INDEPENDENCE COUNTY, CR 2004-14, HON. JOHN DAN KEMP, JUDGE]
v.	
STATE OF ARKANSAS Appellee	PETITION DENIED.

PER CURIAM

A jury found appellant Monroe White guilty of aggravated robbery and sentenced him as a habitual offender to a term of 960 months' imprisonment. The Arkansas Court of Appeals affirmed the judgment. *White v. State*, CACR 06-314 (Ark. App. Oct. 25, 2006). Appellant timely filed in the trial court a pro se petition for postconviction relief under Ark. R. Crim. P. 37.1, which was dismissed for failure to comply with Rule 37.1(b). We affirmed that order. *White v. State*, CR 07-312 (Ark. Sept. 27, 2007) (per curiam). Appellant now brings this pro se request for rehearing of that decision.

A petition for rehearing should be used to call attention to specific errors of law or fact which the opinion is thought to contain and not to repeat arguments already considered and rejected by this court. Ark. Sup. Ct. R. 2-3(g). The petition must cite to facts the appellant contends were overlooked and provide references to the abstract or addendum as required by Ark. Sup. Ct. R. 2-3(h). Here, appellant points to no facts that were overlooked or errors of law in the opinion.

Appellant argues that the trial court made an error in that its decision recited an incorrect number of pages contained in the petition. Yet, as our opinion indicated, the petition contained in the record did in fact exceed the length permitted by the rule, although by a differing number of pages, and the trial court was not in error to determine that it did. This fact was not overlooked.

Nor does appellant point to any mistake of law in our opinion. While he takes issue with our conclusions and reargues the same issues already raised, he does not point to any error as to the authority cited by our opinion. Appellant has provided no grounds for rehearing under Rule 2-3.

Petition denied.