

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA

ROBERT L.,)	
)	
Petitioner,)	
)	S100359
v.)	
)	Ct.App. 4/3 G027381
THE SUPERIOR COURT OF)	
ORANGE COUNTY,)	
)	Orange County
Respondent;)	Super. Ct. No. J-1764-765
)	
THE PEOPLE,)	
)	
Real Party in Interest.)	
_____)	

THE COURT --

The opinion herein, appearing at 30 Cal.4th 894, is modified as follows:

The following text is added to the first paragraph on page 903 after the second sentence: “Proposition 21 repealed former section 186.22, subdivision (d), and reenacted the former subdivision’s language as current subdivision (g). (See Ballot Pamp., Primary Elec. (Mar. 2000) text of Prop. 21, at pp. 119-120.)” The immediately following sentence of the same paragraph—“Section 186.22, subdivision (g), which was also enacted as part of Proposition 21, provides: ‘Notwithstanding any other law, the court may strike the additional punishment for

the enhancements provided in this section or *refuse to impose the minimum jail sentence for misdemeanors* in an unusual case where the interests of justice would best be served if the court specifies on the record and enters into the minutes the circumstances indicating that the interests of justice would best be served by that disposition.’ ”—is modified to read as follows: “Section 186.22, subdivision (g), provides: ‘Notwithstanding any other law, the court may strike the additional punishment for the enhancements provided in this section or *refuse to impose the minimum jail sentence for misdemeanors* in an unusual case where the interests of justice would best be served if the court specifies on the record and enters into the minutes the circumstances indicating that the interests of justice would best be served by that disposition.’ ”

This modification does not affect the judgment.