
The “officially released” date that appears near the beginning of each opinion is the date the opinion will be published in the Connecticut Law Journal or the date it was released as a slip opinion. The operative date for the beginning of all time periods for filing postopinion motions and petitions for certification is the “officially released” date appearing in the opinion. In no event will any such motions be accepted before the “officially released” date.

All opinions are subject to modification and technical correction prior to official publication in the Connecticut Reports and Connecticut Appellate Reports. In the event of discrepancies between the electronic version of an opinion and the print version appearing in the Connecticut Law Journal and subsequently in the Connecticut Reports or Connecticut Appellate Reports, the latest print version is to be considered authoritative.

The syllabus and procedural history accompanying the opinion as it appears on the Commission on Official Legal Publications Electronic Bulletin Board Service and in the Connecticut Law Journal and bound volumes of official reports are copyrighted by the Secretary of the State, State of Connecticut, and may not be reproduced and distributed without the express written permission of the Commission on Official Legal Publications, Judicial Branch, State of Connecticut.

MICHAEL FEINBERG *v.* JOANNE FEINBERG
(SC 18414)

Rogers, C. J., and Norcott, Palmer, Zarella and McLachlan, Js.

Argued September 8—officially released October 11, 2011

Joanne Feinberg, pro se, the appellant (defendant).

Michael Feinberg, pro se, the appellee (plaintiff).

Opinion

PER CURIAM. The defendant, Joanne Feinberg, appeals¹ from the judgment of the Appellate Court affirming the postdissolution order of the trial court granting the plaintiff, Michael Feinberg, physical custody of the parties' minor child. *Feinberg v. Feinberg*, 114 Conn. App. 589, 597, 970 A.2d 776 (2009). After examining the entire record on appeal and considering the briefs and oral arguments of the parties, we have determined that the appeal in this case should be dismissed on the ground that certification was improvidently granted.

The appeal is dismissed.

¹ We granted the defendant's petition for certification to appeal from the judgment of the Appellate Court limited to the following issue: "Did the Appellate Court properly affirm the decision of the trial court ordering that the minor child should change schools and his place of primary residence?" *Feinberg v. Feinberg*, 293 Conn. 901, 975 A.2d 1277 (2009).
