OF THE
STATE OF DELAWARE

WILLIAM B. CHANDLER III
CHANCELLOR

COURT OF CHANCERY COURTHOUSE 34 THE CIRCLE GEORGETOWN, DELAWARE 19947

Submitted: January 24, 2005 Decided: February 7, 2005

Michael Hanrahan Paul A. Fioravanti, Jr. Prickett, Jones & Elliott, P.A. P.O. Box 1328 Wilmington, DE 19801

Charles F. Richards, Jr. Richards, Layton & Finger P.O. Box 551 Wilmington, DE 19899

Re: Belanger v. Fab Indus., Inc., et al. Civil Action No. 054-N

## Dear Counsel:

Plaintiff's Motion for Expedited Proceedings filed on January 12, 2005, sought three forms of expedition. The first request is moot as defendants have responded to plaintiff's Amended First Request for Production of Documents. The third request for a hearing on plaintiff's Motion for Declaratory Judgment is unopposed. A hearing on that motion is scheduled for April 12, 2005, at 10 a.m. in Wilmington. The parties should endeavor to create a mutually acceptable briefing schedule based upon this date.

Defendants oppose plaintiff's request to require persons to appear for

depositions upon notice by plaintiff or as otherwise reasonably agreed upon

by counsel. Plaintiff noticed a series of depositions on January 12, 2005.

Those depositions were noticed for dates on or after February 16, 2005.

Clearly, more than a month is "reasonable notice" within the meaning of

Court of Chancery Rule 30(b)(1). If defendants believe that depositions are

inappropriate as to certain deponents or certain claims, defendants should

move for a protective order under Court of Chancery Rule 26(c) instead of

unnecessarily complicating the present motion to expedite.

A hearing on plaintiff's Motion for Declaratory Judgment will be held

as scheduled above, and the depositions noticed by plaintiff will go forward

as scheduled (or as that schedule is modified by agreement of the parties).

Defendants may seek a protective order should they wish to do so.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Very truly yours,

/s/ William B. Chandler III

William B. Chandler III

WBCIII:amf

2