SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE

T. HENLEY GRAVES
RESIDENT JUDGE

SUSSEX COUNTY COURTHOUSE 1 THE CIRCLE, SUITE 2 GEORGETOWN, DE 19947 (302) 856-5257

September 18, 2012

Mary E. Sherlock, Esquire Weber, Gallagher, Simpson, Stapleton, Fires & Newby, LLP 19 South State Street, Suite 100 Dover, Delaware 19901 Richard E. Berl, Jr., Esquire Smith Feinberg McCartney & Berl, LLP 406 South Bedford Street P.O. Box 588 Georgetown, Delaware 19947

Re: Brebner v. Wilmington Insurance Co.; C.A. No. S11C-02-030

Dear Counsel:

Defendant's Motion for Re-Argument is denied. I am satisfied I did not overlook something that would have changed the outcome of the Court's September 4, 2012, decision on the cross-motions for summary judgment. *McElroy v. Shell Petroleum*, *Inc.*, 618 A.2d 91 (Del. 1992).

You both made your positions clear. I ruled that the radiator did not fall into the water pipe limitation of loss. I also ruled that, to the extent this was an ambiguity, the confusion would require a ruling against the insurer.

Henceforth, the insurer can make it clear as to the loss limitation.

The motion to re-argue is denied.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Very truly yours,

T. Henley Graves

oc: Prothonotary