

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE

IN THE MATTER OF THE
PETITION OF SHIRLEY WILSON
FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI

·
·
· No. 441, 2000
·
·
·

Submitted: October 2, 2000
Decided: October 10, 2000

Before HOLLAND, BERGER and STEELE, Justices

O R D E R

This 10th day of October 2000, upon consideration of the petition for a writ of certiorari filed by Shirley Wilson, it appears to the Court that:

(1) In her petition Wilson requests this Court to review the Rules for Judgments regarding limiting seizure to property involved and amending excessive judgments.[@] The petition appears to stem from a judgment entered against Wilson in the Justice of the Peace Court in 1993.

(2) Certiorari is available to challenge a final order of a lower court only when the right to appeal is denied, a question of grave public policy and interest is involved, and no other basis for review is available.¹ Unless these threshold requirements are met, this Court has no jurisdiction to hear the claim.²

¹*Shoemaker v. State*, Del. Supr., 375 A.2d 431, 438 (1977).

²*In the Matter of Butler*, Del. Supr., 609 A.2d 1080, 1081 (1992).

(3) Wilson's petition must be dismissed, sua sponte, because it manifestly fails on its face to invoke the jurisdiction of this Court.³ Moreover, this Court concludes, in the exercise of its discretion, that the giving of notice would serve no meaningful purpose and that any response would be of no avail.⁴

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that, pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 29(c), the petition for a writ of certiorari is DISMISSED, sua sponte.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Carolyn Berger
Justice

³Supr. Ct. R. 29(c).

⁴Id.