DAVID B. SHAEV PROFIT ACCOUNT, Plaintiff Below- Appellant, v.	SHARING	\$ \$ N \$ \$ \$ \$	o. 110, 2006
۷.		ş Ş	
C. MICHAEL ARMSTRONG, ALAIN		§	
J.P. BELDA, KENNETH J. BAILKIN,			ourt Below—Court of Chancery
GEORGE DAVID, KENNETH T.		§ of the State of Delaware,	
DERR, JOHN M. DEUTCH, ANN		§ in and for New Castle County	
DIBBLE JORDAN, ROBERT I. LIPP,		0	.A. No. 1449-N
REUBEN MARK, MICHAEL T.		§ s	
MASIN, DUDLEY C. MECUM, RICHARD D. PARSONS, ANDRALL		§ §	
E. PEARSON, JOHN S. REED,		8 8	
ROBERT E. RUBIN, ROBERT B.		8 8	
SHAPIRO, FRANKLIN A. THOMAS,		s §	
SANFORD I. WEILL, and ARTHUR		§	
ZANKEL,			
		§ §	
Defendants Below-		§	
Appellees,		§ §	
		§	
and		§ §	
CITICDOUD INC			
CITIGROUP, INC.,		§ e	
Nominal Defendant Below-		\$ \$	
Appellee.		Ş	
Appende.		8	
	Submitted:	July	v 19, 2006 [*]
	Decided:	•	vember 6, 2006

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE

^{*} After hearing this matter at oral argument on July 19, 2006, the Court stayed further consideration of the appeal pending the issuance of the Court's decision in *Stone v. Ritter*, No. 93, 2006.

Before HOLLAND, BERGER, and RIDGELY, Justices.

<u>ORDER</u>

This 6th day of November 2006, after careful consideration of the parties' briefs and oral argument on appeal, we find it manifest that the judgment below should be affirmed on the basis of both the Court of Chancery's well-reasoned opinion dated February 13, 2006 and this Court's recent opinion in *Stone v. Ritter*, Del. Supr., No. 93, 2006, Holland, J. (Nov. 6, 2006).

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that the judgment of the Court of Chancery is AFFIRMED.

BY THE COURT:

<u>/s/ Randy J. Holland</u> Justice