Note to readers: To navigate within this document use the set of icons listed above on the Acrobat toolbar.

These opinions are made available as a joint effort by the District of Columbia Court of Appeals and the District of Columbia Bar.

Notice: This opinion is subject to formal revision before publication in the Atlantic and Maryland Reporters. Users are requested to notify the Clerk of the Court of any formal errors so that corrections may be made before the bound volumes go to press.

## DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA COURT OF APPEALS

No. 00-BG-917

IN RE LLOYD D. IGLEHART, RESPONDENT

A Member of the Bar of the District of Columbia Court of Appeals

On Report and Recommendation of the Board on Professional Responsibility

(Decided September 14, 2000)

Before TERRY, SCHWELB, and GLICKMAN, Associate Judges.

PER CURIAM: The Board on Professional Responsibility ("the Board") has found that respondent, Lloyd D. Iglehart, violated the District of Columbia Rules of Professional Conduct by failing to maintain adequate trust account records and by commingling, in his trust account, his own funds with settlement proceeds belonging to a client and a third party.<sup>1</sup> The Board has recommended

A hearing committee also found that respondent misappropriated client funds. The Board concluded, however, that Bar Counsel did not prove this charge by clear and convincing evidence.

that respondent be suspended for thirty days. Bar Counsel has informed the court that she takes no exception to the Board's report and recommendation, and respondent has not filed any exceptions to the Board's report and recommendation.

This court will accept the Board's findings as long as they are supported by substantial evidence in the record. D.C. Bar Rule XI, § 9 (g)(1). Moreover, we will impose the sanction recommended by the Board "unless to do so would foster a tendency toward inconsistent dispositions for comparable conduct or would otherwise be unwarranted." *Id.* The lack of any exceptions to the Board's report and recommendation increases our already substantial deference to the Board. D.C. Bar Rule XI, § 9 (g)(2); *see In re Delaney*, 697 A.2d 1212, 1214 (D.C. 1997).

We find substantial support in the record for the Board's findings, and accordingly we accept them. Likewise, we adopt the sanction recommended by the Board, since it is not inconsistent with discipline imposed in similar cases. *See*, *e.g.*, *In re McGann*, 666 A.2d 489 (D.C. 1995); *In re Ross*, 658 A.2d 209 (D.C. 1995). Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that Lloyd D. Iglehart is suspended from the practice of law in the District of Columbia for a period of thirty days, effective thirty days from the date of this opinion. We direct respondent's attention to the requirements of D.C. Bar Rule XI, § 14, and to the consequences of non-compliance set forth in D.C. Bar Rule XI, § 16 (c).