UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE TENTH CIRCUIT

WILLIAM P. ZANDER,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

KNIGHT TRANSPORTATION, INC.; GLEN PALMER, JASON JONES, SHAWN BELL, KEVIN PREWITT, MICHAEL HITCHCOCK, DAVID SHOBE, individually and as employees of Knight Transportation, Inc., No. 16-3018 (D.C. No. 5:13-CV-04016-KHV-GLR) (D. Kan.)

Defendants - Appellees.

ORDER AND JUDGMENT*

Before MATHESON, McKAY, and O'BRIEN, Circuit Judges.

William P. Zander has filed this frivolous pro se appeal challenging the district

court's dismissal of his employment action and imposition of \$1,000.00 in attorney's

fees as a sanction for his noncompliance with discovery orders. The original

discovery deadline was November 22, 2013, but via misconduct and dilatory tactics,

FILED United States Court of Appeals Tenth Circuit

April 18, 2017

Elisabeth A. Shumaker Clerk of Court

^{*} After examining the briefs and appellate record, this panel has determined unanimously that oral argument would not materially assist in the determination of this appeal. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); 10th Cir. R. 34.1(G). The case is therefore ordered submitted without oral argument. This order and judgment is not binding precedent, except under the doctrines of law of the case, res judicata, and collateral estoppel. It may be cited, however, for its persuasive value consistent with Fed. R. App. P. 32.1 and 10th Cir. R. 32.1.

Mr. Zander delayed the date of his deposition until May 27, 2015. On that date, he still failed to appear, despite multiple judicial directives to submit to a deposition, a previous sanction of \$500.00, and repeated warnings that noncompliance with discovery could result in dismissal. Consequently, a magistrate judge issued a report evaluating the *Ehrenhaus* factors¹ and recommending the case be dismissed with prejudice and attorney's fees of \$1,000.00 be imposed for Mr. Zander's noncompliance. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(b)(2)(A)(v), (d)(3). On de novo review, the district court entered an order adopting the recommendation in its entirety.

Mr. Zander has now filed an incomprehensible brief on appeal, which is attached to this order and judgment. He indicates "the courts rigged everything," and his "printer broke so [he] know[s] the corruption of the court." Aplt. Br. at 1. He also says someone perpetrated a fraud on the court, and he concludes with vague political and race-based remarks. We afford these pro se comments a liberal construction, but Mr. Zander has forfeited appellate review by failing to articulate any coherent argument supported by adequate legal authority. *See Garrett v. Selby Connor Maddux & Janer*, 425 F.3d 836, 840-41 (10th Cir. 2005); *see also Bronson v. Swensen*, 500 F.3d 1099, 1104 (10th Cir. 2007) ("[W]e routinely have declined to consider arguments that are not raised, or are inadequately presented, in an

¹ See Ehrenhaus v. Reynolds, 965 F.2d 916, 921 (10th Cir. 1992).

appellant's opening brief."). Therefore, the district court's judgment is affirmed.

Entered for the Court

Terrence L. O'Brien Circuit Judge

Document: 01019594891 Date Filed: 03/30/2016 Page: 1 PLAINT SIENC CERTIFIED WHIL ASKING UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS TO USIN TO USIN PAR Case: 16-3 DAR COME THR 60 B 3 PND 60 B 3 Appellate Case: 16-3018 FOR THE TENTH CIRCUIT HIS FEMAIL-ZANDER 2016 MAR 30 AH 3: 13 Case No. 16-3018 Plaintiff/Petitioner - Appellant, v. Appellant's Opening Brief FNISHS TRANSPORTATION NOTICE AND INSTRUCTIONS RIGGED TENERYE HIWE al pro se, the court will Defendant/Respondent - Appellee.

If you proceed on appeal pro se, the court will accept a properly completed Form A-12 in lieu of a formal brief. This form is intended to guide you in presenting your appellate issues and arguments to the court. <u>If you need more space, additional</u> <u>pages may be attached</u>. A short statement of each issue presented for review should precede your argument. Citations to legal authority may also be included. This brief should fully set forth all of the arguments that you wish the court to consider in connection with this case.

New issues raised for the first time on appeal generally will not be considered. An appeal is not a retrial but rather a <u>review</u> of the proceedings in the district court. A copy of the completed form must be served on all opposing counsel and on all unrepresented parties and a proper certificate of service furnished to this court. A form certificate is attached. $\rho(\rho) N(1 + k) = 0$

PLIDIWCIFK SPENC FRIE COURT SEWI FRIE COURT SEWI CREATIFIES MAIL MAIL COM PLAINCIPIE PRINTER CREATIFIES MAIL MAIL COM PLAINCIPIE PRINTER HIS WZAN DEAD BROTHE SU I KNOW FITE CORRUTION OF THE COURT CORRUTION OF THE COURT SO THIS IS ALVI CAN SO THIS IS ALVI CAN SO THIS IS ALVI CAN

APPELLANT/PETITIONER'S OPENING BRIEF

1. Statement of the Case. (This should be a <u>brief</u> summary of the proceedings in the district court.)

APPELLANT/PETITIONER'S OPENING BRIEF

1. Statement of the Case. (This should be a <u>brief</u> summary of the proceedings in the district court.)

FERRID ON THE COURS AND RULE GO'E RIGGED OHSD AND DEFENDENTS DRE NABBIEUNI LIARS

2. Statement of Facts Relevant to the Issues Presented for Review.

FEXPARTIE COMUNICATION WITH THE JUDGE. NE HURN ABOUT THE CRIME PLAINTIFE NAS ALL TIB OBOUMENT'S TO FROME 15 1000 DIRECT FILIENC COMPLAINT REALIST THERER SUDGES RUSHF-IELT ROGIERS URAFIC SUDISCAL

A-12 Appellant/Petitioner's Opening Brief - 06/09

Date Filed: 03/30/2016 Page: 4 SER NEWLT CARE

PLAN PESCROYRD

BAP CUBRA LARRIAN

- 3. Statement of Issues.
 - **First Issue:** a.

OSHA UNVEST. CATION FRAUD RIELE

Argument and Authorities:

TWEER WATRED FOR OBAMPS DARCT KUIDFAR BLACK SHIN

Second Issue: b.

PLANS DESSROYIED KERUSA

Argument and Authorities:

FERITA FRAND WATRIED FOR OBAME PIRICC EVIDENCE TREAFRA PLAINTIES WITH DREKSE FOR REPORTING CRIME AND DISCRYMONDOWN AND CORRUPTION

A-12 Appellant/Petitioner's Opening Brief - 06/09

- 3. Statement of Issues.
 - a. First Issue:

OSHA ENVIEST. CATION

Argument and Authorities:

b. Second Issue:

PLANS DESSROYIED TERUSA

Argument and Authorities:

PERISA FRAND DERITER EVIDENCE

4. Do you think the district court applied the wrong law? If so, what law do you want applied?

YES KRAWD

5. Did the district court incorrectly decide the facts? If so, what facts?

EXPARTIC COMMONEATION

6. Did the district court fail to consider important grounds for relief? If so, what grounds?

7. Do you feel that there are any other reasons why the district court's judgment was wrong? If so, what?

8. What action do you want this court to take in your case?

OVERTURN THIS AND LEC AMS JUPER WANDOW OSHA

9. Do you think the court should hear oral argument in this case? If so, why?

YES FRANC AND SPOIL DEFION ACOMNS PRISIPENS OBAMA Jate 7/12 ANUMA Jama

A-12 Appellant/Petitioner's Opening Brief - 06/09

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I hereby certify that on $\frac{203/2}{2}$ ___ I served a copy of (daté) the Appellant/Petitioner's Opening Brief to ____ (Opposing Party or Attorney), at 105 PL OD _, the last known address/email address, by <u>MAIL</u> . FEMPIL, CON (state method of service) . FEMPIL, CON 6

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

I certify that the total number of pages I am submitting as my Appellant/Petitioner's Opening Brief is 30 pages or less or alternatively, if the total number of pages exceeds 30, I certify that I have counted the number of , which is less than 14,000. I understand words and the total is that if my Appellant/Petitioner's Opening Brief exceeds 14,000 words, my brief may be stricken and the appeal dismissed.

16 Signature

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I hereby certify that on 3/23 /25 /16 I served a copy of (date) the Appellant/Petitioner's Opening Brief to _/() to _155 CWC___ (Opposing Party or Attorney), at DEN/TC Pho , the last known address/email address, by $\underline{A + k_{2}}$ (state method of service). PLAINT NAS 12-RAND

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

I certify that the total number of pages I am submitting as my Appellant/Petitioner's Opening Brief is 30 pages or less or alternatively, if the total number of pages exceeds 30, I certify that I have counted the number of words and the total is , which is less than 14,000. I understand that if my Appellant/Petitioner's Opening Brief exceeds 14,000 words, my brief may be stricken and the appeal dismissed.

7/16 Uluthan P Formand Signature

