[DO NOT PUBLISH] '

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

No. 05-13619 Non-Argument Calendar

D. C. Docket No. 96-00041-CR-7-HL

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

LYNDON WILLIAMS,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Middle District of Georgia

(October 31, 2006)

Before TJOFLAT, BARKETT and HULL, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:

Lyndon Williams, a federal prisoner proceeding prose, appeals the denial of

his post-judgment motion to modify or reduce sentence, filed pursuant to 18 U.S.C.

FILED J.S. COURT OF APPEALS ELEVENTH CIRCUIT OCT 31, 2006 THOMAS K. KAHN CLERK § 3582(c)(2), and for reconsideration of the district court's denial of his § 3582 motion, which he styled as a Fed.R.Civ.P. 59(e) motion to alter or amend judgment.

As a preliminary matter, we reject the government's argument that we lack jurisdiction to decide this appeal due to Williams's failure to perfect a timely appeal of the denial of his § 3582 motion. We remanded Williams's case to the district court for a determination of excusable neglect or good cause for Williams's untimely filing of his notice of appeal, and the district court found that Williams's failure to file a timely notice of appeal was the result of excusable neglect and granted the motion for extension of time. Accordingly, we proceed to consider the merits of the Williams's appeal.

Williams argues that <u>United States v. Booker</u>, 543 U.S. 220, 125 S.Ct. 738, 160 L.Ed.2d 621 (2005), provided grounds for reducing his sentence under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) because <u>Booker</u> held that the mandatory sentencing guidelines were unconstitutional. However, in <u>United States v. Moreno</u>, 421 F.3d 1217, 1220 (11th Cir. 2005), <u>cert. denied</u>, 126 S.Ct. 1643 (2006), we held that because <u>Booker</u> is a Supreme Court decision and not a retroactively applicable guideline amendment created by the Sentencing Commission, <u>Booker</u> is inapplicable to § 3582(c)(2) motions. Having reviewed the record, we discern no reversible error.

AFFIRMED.