IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT	FILED
	U.S. COURT OF APPEALS
	ELEVENTH CIRCUIT
No. 05-16848	DEC 7, 2006
	THOMAS K. KAHN CLERK
D. C. Docket No. 03-02733 CV-B-	-S,
LAURA CAIN,	
LAUKA CAIN,	
	Plaintiff-Appellant,
	,
versus	
THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE	
UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA, VAXIN,	
	Defendants-Appellees.
	Detendants-Appences.
Appeal from the United States District	Court
for the Northern District of Alaban	na
(December 7, 2006)	
D.C., ANDEDCON, 1DADVETT C'., '(I 1,, 1 COLDDEDC * I 1	
Before ANDERSON and BARKETT, Circuit Judges, and GOLDBERG,* Judge.	

^{*}Honorable Richard W. Goldberg, Judge, United States Court of International Trade, sitting by designation.

PER CURIAM:

After oral argument and careful consideration, we conclude that the district court is due to be affirmed. For the reasons discussed at oral argument, the alleged hostile environment did not rise to the necessary level of severity or pervasiveness, and even if it did, plaintiff cannot surmount the Faragher v. City of Boca Raton, 118 S.Ct. 2275 (1998), defense. With respect to the promotion of Dr. Siegel, plaintiff was not qualified. Moreover, no reasonable jury could find pretext in light of the legitimate business reason. With respect to plaintiff's retaliation claim, no reasonable jury could find retaliation in light of the fact that plaintiff was not qualified for the position to which Dr. Siegel was promoted. We note that appellant's initial brief fails to preserve any challenge to any later denial of a promotion, either as a substantive challenge or as an alleged action taken in retaliation for protected conduct.

Accordingly, the judgment of the district court is AFFIRMED.²

Any claim with respect to Dr. Reddy is time-barred.

In light of our disposition, we need not address plaintiff's argument about the joint employer theory. Appellee Vaxin's motion for double costs and attorney fees on appeal is Denied.