[DO NOT PUBLISH]

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

No. 08-16830 Non-Argument Calendar

D. C. Docket No. 08-60162-CR-DTKH

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

OTIS O'BRIEN FEARON, a.k.a. Brien Fearon,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida

(May 29, 2009)

Before DUBINA, PRYOR and ANDERSON, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:

Appellant Otis Fearon appeals his 41-month sentence for illegally reentering

FILED .S. COURT OF APPEALS ELEVENTH CIRCUIT MAY 29, 2009 THOMAS K. KAHN CLERK the United States after deportation as a convicted felon, in violation of 8 U.S.C. §§ 1326(a) and (b)(2). Fearon argues that the 16-level increase to his offense level, added for his prior felony convictions under U.S.S.G. § 2L1.1(b)(1)(A), violates his Fifth and Sixth Amendment rights that any aggravating sentencing fact be charged in an indictment, submitted to a jury, and proven beyond a reasonable doubt.

We review *de novo* constitutional challenges to a sentence. *United States v. Cantellano*, 430 F.3d 1142, 1144 (11th Cir. 2005).

In *Almendarez-Torres*, 523 U.S. 224, 226-227, 118 S. Ct. 1219, 1222, 140 L. Ed. 2d 350 (1998), the Supreme Court held that prior convictions need not be charged in the present indictment to support sentence enhancements. We held, in *United States v. Thomas*, 242 F.3d 1028 (11th Cir. 2001), that we are "bound to follow *Almendarez-Torres* unless and until the Supreme Court itself overrules that decision." *Id.* at 1035.

Based on binding precedent from the Supreme Court and our court, we conclude that the district court did not err in imposing the enhancement. Accordingly, we affirm Fearon's sentence.

AFFIRMED.