IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

III THE CITTE	B SIMILS COOK! OF	THI ETTES
FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCU No. 09-13108 Non-Argument Calendar		ELEVENTH CIRCUIT
		APR 21, 2010 JOHN LEY CLERK
D. C. Docket No. 08-22074-CV-JLK		
MARK STAMMEL,		
		Plaintiff-Appellant,
versus		
ACE AMERICAN INSURANC a foreign corporation, TOTAL DOLLAR MANAGEM a foreign corporation,		
		Defendants-Appellees.
		-
	the United States Distri- Southern District of Flor	
	(April 21, 2010)	

Before BLACK, BARKETT and PRYOR, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:

Mark Stammel appeals an adverse Summary Judgment in favor of Ace

American Insurance Company which had denied coverage to Stammel for the total
loss by fire and the sinking of his yacht. At the time of the casualty, the vessel was
insured by ACE, which denied coverage because the vessel was not equipped with
an automatic fire extinguishing system in the engine pursuant to the following
policy provision:

FIRE EXTINGUISHING AGREEMENT

You agree that your yacht is equipped with a built-in and automatic system of fire extinguishing apparatus, properly installed in the engine room and maintained in good and efficient working order.

On appeal, Stammel argues: (1) that ACE is precluded from applying this provision because the parties previously agreed that the Vessel was equipped with an automatic system of fire extinguishing apparatus; (2) that the phrase "automatic system of fire extinguishing apparatus" is ambiguous; and (3) ACE is estopped from denying coverage because ACE knew the specific type of fire equipment on board prior to binding coverage, never objected, and issued insurance nevertheless.

Having reviewed the record and considered the argument of the parties, we find no reversible error in the district court's conclusion that the Fire Extinguishing

Agreement was not ambiguous, reasoning that the word "automatic" means "without human intervention" and Stammel did not comply with the condition of the policy as the system on his yacht required human activation.

AFFIRMED