IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR 7	THE ELEVENTH CIRCU	IIT FILED
rok i	THE ELEVENTH CIRCO	TILLD
		U.S. COURT OF APPEALS
		ELEVENTH CIRCUIT
	No. 11-10815	MARCH 20, 2012
N	on-Argument Calendar	JOHN LEY
		CLERK
D.C. Do	ocket No. 1:09-cv-20800-	ECT
D.C. DC	1.09-6V-20000-	EUI
KATHRYN GROVES,		
		Plaintiff-Appellant,
versus		
Velbub		
ROYAL CARIBBEAN CRUIS a Liberian corporation,	SES, LTD.,	
		Defendant-Appellee.
		<u> </u>
Appeal from	n the United States Distri	ict Court
	Southern District of Flor	
		_
	(March 20, 2012)	
Before MARCUS, MARTIN, a	and HILL, Circuit Judges	:
PER CURIAM:		

Appellant Kathryn Groves (Groves) was injured on Appellee, Royal Caribbean Cruises, Ltd.'s, cruise ship, M/S Freedom of the Seas (RCL). When leaving the carpeted dining room, Groves slipped and fell as she stepped backwards from the carpeted area onto the granite hard floor of the wait station.

In 2009, Groves filed a complaint, alleging, for purposes of this appeal, that RCL is liable for the negligent design of the area in the dining room where the accident occurred.¹ The district court found that Groves presented no evidence that RCL actually created, participated in, or approved the alleged negligent design of these areas near the dining room where Groves was injured. It granted summary judgment to RCL on Groves's negligent design of the dining area claim.

Under the law in this circuit, RCL can be liable only for negligent design of the dining area if it had actual or constructive notice of such hazardous condition. *See Keefe v. Bahama Cruise Line, Inc.*, 867 F.2d 1318, 1322 (11th Cir. 1989); *Everett v. Carnival Cruise Lines*, 912 F.2d 1355 (11th Cir. 1990). The district court found that it did not. We agree.

Having reviewed the record in this appeal, the briefs and the argument of counsel, we find no error, and affirm the judgment of the district court.

¹ Groves also appealed the district court's exclusion of her expert witness's testimony on the design flaw issue. As there is no negligent liability attributable to RCL, the district court considered this issue as moot. We agree.

AFFIRMED.