

[DO NOT PUBLISH]

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

No. 11-12462
Non-Argument Calendar

D. C. Docket No. 4:10-cr-00096-RH-WCS-3

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

GASPAR BISHOP,
a.k.a. Nigel Humphrey,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Northern District of Florida

(September 10, 2012)

Before HULL, MARTIN and HILL, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:

Randolph P. Murrell, appointed counsel for Gaspar Bishop, in this direct criminal appeal, has moved to withdraw from further representation of the

appellant and filed a brief pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493 (1967). We have reviewed both counsel's brief and Bishop's response. Our independent review of the entire record reveals that counsel's assessment of the relative merit of the appeal is correct. Because independent examination of the entire record reveals no arguable issues of merit, counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED**, and Bishop's convictions and sentences are **AFFIRMED**.