

[DO NOT PUBLISH]

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

No. 14-15296
Non-Argument Calendar

D.C. Docket No. 0:01-cr-06242-WPD-1

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

BILLY CLEVELAND,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Southern District of Florida

(June 15, 2015)

Before WILLIAM PRYOR, JORDAN and JILL PRYOR, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:

Billy Cleveland appeals *pro se* the denial of his motion for a sentence reduction. 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2). Cleveland based his motion on Amendment 782 to the Sentencing Guidelines. We affirm.

The district court did not err when it denied Cleveland's motion for a reduction of his sentence. Cleveland, whose sentence is based on the career offender guideline, U.S.S.G. § 4B1.1, not on the drug quantity tables, *id.* § 2D1.1, is ineligible for a sentence reduction under Amendment 782. *See United States v. Lawson*, 686 F.3d 1317, 1321 (11th Cir. 2012); *United States v. Moore*, 541 F.3d 1323, 1327–30 (11th Cir. 2008). Cleveland challenges his classification as a career offender, but that challenge is outside the limited scope of section 3582(c)(2). *See United States v. Bravo*, 203 F.3d 778, 780–81 (11th Cir. 2000).

We **AFFIRM** the denial of Cleveland's motion to reduce.