

[DO NOT PUBLISH]

In the
United States Court of Appeals
For the Eleventh Circuit

No. 22-11170

Non-Argument Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

BRIAN JAMES HULSE,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Southern District of Alabama
D.C. Docket No. 1:15-cr-00214-TFM-C-1

22-10470

Opinion of the Court

2

Before LUCK, LAGOA, and BRASHER, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:

Domingo Soto, appointed counsel for Brian Hulse in this direct criminal appeal, has moved to withdraw from further representation of the appellant and filed a brief pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). Our independent review of the entire record reveals that counsel's assessment of the relative merit of the appeal is correct. Because independent examination of the entire record reveals no arguable issues of merit, counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED**, and Hulse's revocation of supervised release and sentence are **AFFIRMED**.