

[DO NOT PUBLISH]

In the
United States Court of Appeals
For the Eleventh Circuit

No. 22-11497

Non-Argument Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

SETH KELLY GIFFORD,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Middle District of Florida

D.C. Docket No. 8:22-cr-00024-KKM-SPF-1

Before WILLIAM PRYOR, Chief Judge, WILSON, and LUCK, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:

Mark Rodriguez, appointed counsel for Seth Kelly Gifford in this direct criminal appeal, has moved to withdraw from further representation of the appellant and filed a brief pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). Our independent review of the entire record reveals that counsel's assessment of the relative merit of the appeal is correct. Because independent examination of the entire record reveals no arguable issues of merit, counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED**, and Gifford's revocation of supervised release and sentence are **AFFIRMED**.