## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

No. 19-2833

\_\_\_\_\_

In re: DANIEL PATRICK SHEEHAN,
Petitioner

On a Petition for Writ of Mandamus from the United States District Court for the Middle District of Pennsylvania (Related to M.D. Pa. Civ. No. 1-18-cv-01748)

\_\_\_\_\_

Submitted Pursuant to Rule 21, Fed. R. App. P.
September 12, 2019

Before: SMITH, Chief Judge, AMBRO and ROTH, Circuit Judges

(Opinion filed: October 30, 2019)

\_\_\_\_\_

OPINION\*

## PER CURIAM

Daniel Patrick Sheehan was convicted of extortion and using a "destructive device" to commit extortion, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1951 and 924(c)(1)(B)(ii). After unsuccessful challenges to his convictions on direct appeal and in proceedings under 28 U.S.C. § 2255, Sheehan filed a habeas petition under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 in the District Court. Now, seeking an order from this Court compelling the District Court to

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not an opinion of the full Court and pursuant to I.O.P. 5.7 does not constitute binding precedent.

adjudicate his § 2241 petition, Sheehan has filed a petition for a writ of mandamus. The subject § 2241 petition, however, has since been dismissed by the District Court. See ECF 25-26. Sheehan's mandamus petition is thus moot and will be dismissed. See Blanciak v. Allegheny Ludlum Corp., 77 F.3d 690, 699-700 (3d Cr. 1996).