UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 05-6584

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

versus

DARTY JAMES REED,

Defendant - Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of
Maryland, at Greenbelt. Deborah K. Chasanow, District Judge. (CR-
97-448; CA-00-3026)

Submitted: June 9, 2006   Decided: June 22, 2006

Before WILLIAMS, TRAXLER, and KING, Circuit Judges.

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Darty James Reed, Appellant Pro Se. Stephen Matthew Schenning,
OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY, Baltimore, Maryland, Daphene
Rose McFerren, OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY, Greenbelt,
Maryland, for Appellee.

PER CURIAM:

Darty James Reed, a federal prisoner, seeks to appeal the district court's orders denying relief on his 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (2000) motion, denying reconsideration of that order, and denying his motion to amend. The orders are not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1) (2000). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2000). A prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that any assessment of his constitutional claims by the district court is debatable or wrong and that any dispositive procedural ruling by the district court is likewise debatable. See Miller-El v. Cockrell, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003); Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); Rose v. Lee, 252 F.3d 676, 683-84 (4th Cir. 2001). We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Reed has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED