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Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Jeffrey Preston McClung, Appellant Pro Se.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit. See Local Rule 36 (c).

PER CURIAM:
Jeffrey Preston McClung seeks to appeal the district court's order denying relief on his successive 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (2000) motion. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § $2253(\mathrm{c})(1)(2000)$. A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § $2253(\mathrm{c})(2)(2000)$. A prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that any assessment of the constitutional claims by the district court is debatable or wrong and that any dispositive procedural ruling by the district court is likewise debatable. Miller-El v. Cockrell, 537 U.S. $322,336-38$ (2003); Slack V. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473,484 (2000); Rose v. Lee, 252 F.3d 676, 683-84 (4th Cir. 2001). We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that McClung has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny McClung's motion for production of records and transcripts, construed as a petition for writ of mandamus, deny a certificate of appealability, and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

