## UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 07-6884

DAVID S. JOHNSON,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

STATE OF MARYLAND; ROBERT L. EHRLICH, JR., Governor; ROBERT M. BELL, Chief Judge; DAVIS, Appellate Judge; BYRNES, Appellate Judge; JOHN J. BISHOP, JR.; Appellate Judge; SONNER, Appellate Judge; GREENE, Appellate Judge; ROBERT F. FISCHER, Appellate Judge; C. J. MURPHY, Appellate Judge; KRAUSER, Appellate Judge; RAYMOND G. THIEME, JR., Appellate Judge; LAWRENCE F. RODOWSKY, Appellate Judge; JAMES S. GETTY, Appellate Judge; WILLIAM W. WENNER, Appellate Judge; GARY G. LEASURE, Judge; J. FREDERICK SHARER, Judge; FRED A. THAYER, Judge; LAWRENCE V. KELLY, State's Attorney; ROBERT A. COHEN, Assistant State's Attorney; MICHAEL O. TWIGG, Assistant State's Attorney; RAYMOND STRUBIN, Assistant Public Defender; RAMON ROZAS, Assistant Defender; JAMES E. MALONE, Assistant Public Defender; SHERRIE B. GLASSER, Assistant Public Defender; SCOTT WHITNEY, Assistant Public Defender; BRIAN J. MURPHY, Public Defender Appointed Counsel; FRANK C. SIZER, Commissioner of Correction; JON P. GALLEY, Warden; ALLEGANY COUNTY, MARYLAND; JOHN DOE, Warden; CITY OF CUMBERLAND, MARYLAND; JOHN DOE, Chief of Police; JOHN DOE, Cumberland City Police Officer (1); JOHN DOE, Cumberland City Police Officer (2); JOHN DOE, Cumberland City Police Officer (3); **JAMES** HOTT, Cumberland City Police Officer; STEVE SHELLHAUS, Cumberland City Police Officer;

WADE SIBLEY, Cumberland City Police Officer; CUMBERLAND CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT; UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE; JOHN E. POTTER, Postmaster General; M. COLAIACOVO, Postal Inspector,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Maryland, at Baltimore. William M. Nickerson, Senior District Judge. (1:06-cv-02781-WMN; 1:07-cv-00403-WMN)

Submitted: September 11, 2007 Decided: September 17, 2007

Before WILKINSON, MOTZ, and TRAXLER, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

David S. Johnson, Appellant Pro Se.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

## PER CURIAM:

David S. Johnson appeals the district court's order denying relief on his complaint filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (2000) and Bivens v. Six Unknown Named Agents of Fed. Bureau of Narcotics, 403 U.S. 388 (1971). We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. Johnson v. Maryland, Nos. 1:06-cv-02781-WMN; 1:07-cv-00403-WMN (D. Md., May 14, 2007). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

**AFFIRMED**