## UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

## No. 08-1866

DAVID E. HENDERSON,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

DAVID D. DOWNES; MELVIN HIRSHMAN; DEBRA ZACHRY,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Maryland, at Baltimore. J. Frederick Motz, District Judge. (1:08-cv-01264-JFM)

\_\_\_\_\_\_

Submitted: November 13, 2008 Decided: November 18, 2008

Before WILKINSON, NIEMEYER, and SHEDD, Circuit Judges.

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

David E. Henderson, Appellant Pro Se.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

David E. Henderson appeals the district court's order dismissing without prejudice his complaint against Defendants for intentional infliction of emotional distress. Because Henderson may amend his complaint to cure the defects identified by the district court, we find that the dismissal order is interlocutory and not appealable. <u>See Chao v. Rivendell Woods,</u> <u>Inc.</u>, 415 F.3d 342, 345 (4th Cir. 2005); <u>Domino Sugar Corp.</u> <u>v. Sugar Workers Local Union 392</u>, 10 F.3d 1064, 1066-67 (4th Cir. 1993). Accordingly, we dismiss the appeal for lack of jurisdiction. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

## DISMISSED