UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 09-7061

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

RONALD DAWSON, a/k/a Tree,

Defendant - Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of North Carolina, at Raleigh. Louise W. Flanagan, Chief District Judge. (5:06-cr-00061-FL-1; 5:08-cv-00298-FL)

Submitted: November 17, 2009 Decided: November 23, 2009

Before WILKINSON, MICHAEL, and KING, Circuit Judges.

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Ronald Dawson, Appellant Pro Se. Edward D. Gray, Assistant United States Attorney, Raleigh, North Carolina, for Appellee.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Ronald Dawson seeks to appeal the district court's order accepting the recommendation of the magistrate judge and denying relief on his 28 U.S.C.A. § 2255 (West Supp. 2009) motion. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1) (2006). A certificate of appealability will not showing of absent "a substantial the denial of constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2006). prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that any assessment of constitutional claims by the district court is debatable or wrong and that any dispositive procedural ruling by the district court is likewise debatable. Miller-El v. Cockrell, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003); Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); Rose v. Lee, 252 F.3d 676, 683-84 (4th Cir. 2001). We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Dawson has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. dispense with oral argument because the facts and contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED