## UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 09-7792

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

RANDOLF MOORE, a/k/a Randy, a/k/a Booney,

Defendant - Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of North Carolina, at Greenville. Malcolm J. Howard, Senior District Judge. (4:95-cr-00041-H-7)

Submitted: November 17, 2009 Decided: November 25, 2009

Before WILKINSON, MICHAEL, and KING, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Randolf Moore, Appellant Pro Se. Steve R. Matheny, Assistant United States Attorney, Raleigh, North Carolina, for Appellee.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

## PER CURIAM:

Randolf Moore appeals the district court's order denying his motion to reconsider the district court's previous order denying his motion to supplement. We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. United States v. Moore, No. 4:95-cr-00041-H-7 (E.D.N.C. Aug. 6, 2009). We deny Moore's motion for transcript at government expense, and dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED