## UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 0	9-7797 
HENRY PERRY-BEY,	
Petitioner - Appe	llant,
v.	
GENE JOHNSON,	
Respondent - Appe	llee.
	District Court for the Eastern dria. James C. Cacheris, Senior JCC-TRJ)

Submitted: June 17, 2010 Decided: June 24, 2010

Before MOTZ and KING, Circuit Judges, and HAMILTON, Senior Circuit Judge.

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Henry Perry-Bey, Appellant Pro Se.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

## PER CURIAM:

Henry Perry-Bey seeks to appeal the district court's denying his pleading construed order as а motion reconsideration of the order denying relief on his 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (2006) petition. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. U.S.C.  $\S$  2253(c)(1) (2006). 28 A certificate of See appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2006). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating reasonable jurists would find that the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims is debatable or wrong. Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); see Miller-El v. Cockrell, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable, and that the petition states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. Slack, 529 U.S. at 484-85. We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Perry-Bey has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. dispense with oral argument because the facts and

contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED