UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No.	09-8157

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

KEVIN WASHINGTON,

Defendant - Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of North Carolina, at Raleigh. Malcolm J. Howard, Senior District Judge. (5:05-cr-00251-H-1; 5:08-cv-00371-H)

Submitted: July 27, 2010 Decided: August 4, 2010

Before TRAXLER, Chief Judge, and WILKINSON and KEENAN, Circuit Judges.

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Kevin Washington, Appellant Pro Se. Rudolf A. Renfer, Jr., Assistant United States Attorney, Raleigh, North Carolina, for Appellee.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Kevin Washington seeks to appeal the district court's order denying relief on his 28 U.S.C.A. § 2255 (West Supp. 2010) The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. § 2253(c)(1) (2006). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2006). district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims is debatable or wrong. Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); see Miller-El v. Cockrell, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 When the district court denies relief on procedural (2003).grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable, and that the motion states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. 529 U.S. at 484-85. We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Washington has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny Washington's motion for a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We deny Washington's motion for appointment of counsel. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED