UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 09-8211

HERMAN GADESON, a/k/a Herman Gadsen,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

REYNOLDS, Warden; JON OZMINT, Director; ROBERT WARD, Divisional Director all in their official and individual capacities,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina, at Charleston. Cameron McGowan Currie, District Judge. (2:08-cv-03702-CMC)

Submitted: August 19, 2010

Decided: August 26, 2010

Before MOTZ, GREGORY, and AGEE, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Herman Gadeson, Appellant Pro Se. Christy L. Scott, SCOTT & PAYNE LAW FIRM, Walterboro, South Carolina, for Appellees.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Herman Gadeson appeals the district court's order denying relief on his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (2006) complaint. The district court referred this case to a magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C.A. § 636(b)(1)(B) (West 2006 & Supp. 2010). The magistrate judge recommended that relief be denied and advised Gadeson that failure to file timely and specific objections to this recommendation could waive appellate review of a district court order based upon the recommendation.

filing of The timely specific objections to a magistrate judge's recommendation is necessary to preserve appellate review of the substance of that recommendation when parties have been warned of the the consequences of Wright v. Collins, 766 F.2d 841, 845-46 (4th noncompliance. Cir. 1985); see also Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140 (1985). failing to file has waived appellate review Gadeson by objections after receiving proper notice. Accordingly, we affirm the judgment of the district court.

We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED

2