## UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 10-7478

CHARLES ROBERT BAREFOOT, JR.,

Petitioner - Appellant,

v.

SARAH REVELL; UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Respondents - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of North Carolina, at Raleigh. Louise W. Flanagan, Chief District Judge. (5:10-hc-02030-FL)

Submitted: March 15, 2011 Decided: March 18, 2011

Before MOTZ and WYNN, Circuit Judges, and HAMILTON, Senior Circuit Judge.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Charles Robert Barefoot, Jr., Appellant Pro Se.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

## PER CURIAM:

Charles Robert Barefoot, Jr., appeals the district court's order dismissing his filing styled as a 28 U.S.C. § 2241 (2006) petition. Because Barefoot was challenging his conviction and sentence, the court properly concluded that the pleading was a 28 U.S.C.A. § 2255 (West Supp. 2010) motion. court dismissed the action because Barefoot previously sought relief under § 2255 and he did not have authorization from this court to file a second or successive such motion. See U.S.C.A. § 2255(h) (West Supp. 2010). We conclude the court properly dismissed the action. Accordingly, we affirm. note Barefoot has failed to show that he is entitled authorization from this court to file a second or successive § 2255 motion. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED