

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 11-6770

PETER L. THOMAS, a/k/a Peter Lloyd Thomas, a/k/a Patrick R.
Harrison,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

RICHLAND COUNTY CLERK OF COURTS OFFICE; JEANETTE W. MCBRIDE,
Clerk of Court; ANNE G. KELLY, Chief Deputy Clerk of Court;
RICHLAND COUNTY JUDICIAL CENTER,

Defendants - Appellees,

and

CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK OF COURT,

Defendant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of
South Carolina, at Florence. Joseph F. Anderson, Jr., District
Judge. (4:11-cv-00367-JFA)

Submitted: October 18, 2011

Decided: October 21, 2011

Before WILKINSON, MOTZ, and DIAZ, Circuit Judges.

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Peter L. Thomas, Appellant Pro Se.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Peter L. Thomas appeals the district court's order accepting the recommendation of the magistrate judge and denying relief on his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (2006) complaint. We dismiss the appeal for lack of jurisdiction because the notice of appeal was not timely filed.

Parties are accorded thirty days after the entry of the district court's final judgment or order to note an appeal, Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(1)(A), unless the district court extends the appeal period under Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(5), or reopens the appeal period under Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(6). "[T]he timely filing of a notice of appeal in a civil case is a jurisdictional requirement." Bowles v. Russell, 551 U.S. 205, 214 (2007).

The district court's order was entered on the docket on May 1, 2011. The notice of appeal was filed, at the earliest, on June 10, 2011. Because Thomas failed to file a timely notice of appeal or to obtain an extension or reopening of the appeal period, we dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED