## UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 13-7897

TYRONE LAMAR ROBERSON,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

ANTHONY J. PADULA, Warden Lee Corrections Institution; MAJOR JAMES DEAN; LIEUTENANT A. DAVIS; LIEUTENANT ERNEST MIMS; SERGEANT B. COOK; SERGEANT K. ARENS; MS. FULTON, Medical Health Care Provider RN; RN MS. JUDY RABON; RN MS. THOMAS, Commission of Probation MCDONALD; MS. KELA E. Parole and Pardon Services Director; WILLIAM BYARS, JR., SCDC Director, et al; SOUTH CAROLINA STATE BUDGET CONTROL BOARD COMMITTEE; WILLIAM F. MARSCHER, III, on Indigent Defense; Commission FREDERICK Μ. CORLEY, Esquire; RANDOLPH MURDAUGH, III, Solicitor Attorney for the State; WILLIAM T. HOWELL, Judge of the 14th Judicial Circuit Court of SC; J. MCREE, MD, KCI Pharmacy; JUANITA MOSS, Food Service Supervisor; MS. BELL, Food Service NORMAN, Food Service Supervisor; MS. Supervisor; MS. ANDERSON, Food Service Supervisor,

Defendants - Appellees,

and

SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS,

Defendant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina, at Charleston. Richard Mark Gergel, District Judge. (2:13-cv-01872-RMG-BHH)

Submitted: April 24, 2014 Decided: April 28, 2014

Before NIEMEYER, SHEDD, and FLOYD, Circuit Judges.

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Tyrone Lamar Roberson, Appellant Pro Se. Joseph Parker McLean, CLARKE, JOHNSON, PETERSON & MCLEAN, PA, Florence, South Carolina, for Appellees.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

## PER CURIAM:

Tyrone Lamar Roberson seeks to appeal the district court's order adopting the report and recommendation of the magistrate judge, and dismissing his complaint without prejudice certain defendants. This court may jurisdiction only over final orders, 28 U.S.C. § 1291 (2012), and certain interlocutory and collateral orders, 28 U.S.C. § 1292 (2012); Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(b); Cohen v. Beneficial Indus. Loan Corp., 337 U.S. 541, 545-46 (1949). Because the case is ongoing in the district court against the remaining defendants, the order Roberson seeks to appeal is neither a final order nor an appealable interlocutory or collateral order. Accordingly, dismiss the appeal for lack of jurisdiction and deny we Roberson's objection to the Appellees' disclosure of corporate affiliations. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED