UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

•		
	No. 16-1690	
WILLIAM WILBORN,		
Plaintiff - App	pellant,	
v.		
HALIFAX COUNTY (VIRGINIA) SCHOOL BOARD	,
Defendant - A	appellee.	
Appeal from the United States D Danville. Jackson L. Kiser, Senior		•
Submitted: March 30, 2017		Decided: April 7, 2017
Before KEENAN, WYNN, and HA	ARRIS, Circuit Judge	es.
Affirmed by unpublished per curia	m opinion.	
Melvin E. Williams, MEL WILLIA Wood, MCGUIREWOODS LLP MCGUIREWOODS LLP, Richmo LLP, Norfolk, Virginia, for Appell	, Charlottesville, Vi ond, Virginia; E. Re	rginia; Tyler S. Laughinghouse,

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

William Wilborn appeals the district court's order granting the Halifax County School Board's ("School Board") motion to dismiss his claims as barred by Virginia's res judicata rule. We affirm.

Wilborn filed suit in state court against the School Board, in which he alleged a violation of his due process rights and breach of contract following the School Board's decision to terminate his employment. Wilborn later nonsuited his breach-of-contract claim. The School Board filed a demurrer, and the state court sustained the demurrer and dismissed Wilborn's due-process claim with prejudice. Wilborn filed a second suit against the School Board, reasserting his previously nonsuited breach-of-contract claim and adding two additional claims. The School Board removed the action to federal district court, asserted a res judicata defense, and moved to dismiss the second complaint. Because Wilborn's claims involve the same parties and stem from the same conduct or occurrence – his termination – we agree that Virginia's res judicata rule bars his claims. *See* Va. Sup. Ct. R. 1:6 (res judicata claim preclusion).

Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. *Wilborn v. Halifax Cty. Sch. Bd.*, No. 4:16-cv-00008-JLK-RSB (W.D. Va. May 23, 2016). We grant the Halifax County School Board's motion to submit this case on the briefs because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and oral argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED