UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 16-7026

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

DERRICK SIMMONS,

Defendant - Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina, at Spartanburg. Henry M. Herlong, Jr., Senior District Judge. (7:07-cr-00294-HMH-12; 7:16-cv-02004-HMH)

Submitted: November 22, 2016

Before WYNN, DIAZ, and HARRIS, Circuit Judges.

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Derrick Simmons, Appellant Pro Se. Andrew Burke Moorman, William Jacob Watkins, Jr., OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY, Greenville, South Carolina, for Appellee.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

Decided: December 2, 2016

PER CURIAM:

Derrick Simmons seeks to appeal the district court's order denying relief on his 28 U.S.C. § 2255 motion. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues а certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(B) (2012). certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a Δ substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2012). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims is debatable or wrong. Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); see Miller-El v. Cockrell, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable, and that the motion states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. Slack, 529 U.S. at 484-85.

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Simmons has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny leave to proceed in forma pauperis, deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately

2

presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED