## UNPUBLISHED

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

	No. 16-7434	
RODGER DALE MITCHELL,		
Petitioner - Ap	ppellant,	
v.		
KAREN PSZCZOLKOWSKI,		
Respondent -	Appellee.	
Appeal from the United States Disat Charleston. Joseph R. Goodwin		•
Submitted: March 31, 2017		Decided: April 13, 2017
Before MOTZ, KEENAN, and TH	ACKER, Circuit Jud	ges.
Dismissed by unpublished per curi	am opinion.	
Rodger Dale Mitchell, Appellant ATTORNEY GENERAL, Charles	•	
Unpublished opinions are not bind	ing precedent in this	circuit.

## PER CURIAM:

Rodger Dale Mitchell seeks to appeal the district court's order accepting the recommendation of the magistrate judge and denying relief on his 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (2012) petition. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(A) (2012). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2012). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims is debatable or wrong. *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); *see Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable, and that the petition states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Slack*, 529 U.S. at 484-85.

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Mitchell has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

**DISMISSED**