UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

_		
_	No. 16-7561	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	,	
Plaintiff – App	pellee,	
v.		
RAYMOND LEE DEAN, III,		
Defendant - Ap	ppellant.	
Appeal from the United States Distraction Raleigh. Louise W. Flanagan, Distraction –		
Submitted: March 17, 2017		Decided: March 22, 2017
Before GREGORY, Chief Judge, Judge.	HARRIS, Circuit Ju	udge, and DAVIS, Senior Circuit
Dismissed by unpublished per curia	am opinion.	
Sherri Royall Alspaugh, Eric Jose DEFENDER, Raleigh, North Card THE UNITED STATES ATTOR Attorney, Raleigh, North Carolina,	olina, for Appellant NEY, Seth Morgar	. Gaston Williams, OFFICE OF

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Raymond Lee Dean, III, seeks to appeal the district court's order denying relief on his 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (2012) motion. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(B) (2012). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2012). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims is debatable or wrong. *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); *see Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable, and that the motion states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Slack*, 529 U.S. at 484-85.

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Dean has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny the motion for a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED