UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

-		
_	No. 16-7773	
CURTIS LOUIS SANGSTER,		
Petitioner - Ap	opellant,	
v.		
WAKE COUNTY DETENTION C	CENTER,	
Respondent - A	Appellee.	
-		
Appeal from the United States Dist Raleigh. James C. Dever, III, Chie		
Submitted: April 25, 2017		Decided: April 28, 2017
Before MOTZ, DUNCAN, and AC	GEE, Circuit Judges.	
Dismissed by unpublished per curia	am opinion.	
Curtis L. Sangster, Appellant Pro S	e.	
Unpublished opinions are not bindi	ing precedent in this	circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Curtis Louis Sangster, a state pretrial detainee, seeks to appeal the district court's order accepting the recommendation of the magistrate judge and dismissing without prejudice his 28 U.S.C. § 2241 (2012) petition. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(A) (2012). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2012). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims is debatable or wrong. *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); *see Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable, and that the petition states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Slack*, 529 U.S. at 484-85.

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Sangster has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED