UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 1	7-1011
CALVIN TYRONE NORTON,	
Plaintiff - Appellant,	
v.	
CITY OF WHITEVILLE, a Municipality; D his official & individual capacity; TERRY Official, in is official and individual capacity at Resource Director, in her official capacity at CARTER, Police Officer of Whiteville Prindividual capacity; ELIJAH KEMP, a Department, in his official and individual employee writer for The News Reporter Coindividual capacity; THE NEWS REPORTIONS Corporation,	MANN, Mayor of Whiteville, Elected city; RACHEL RIVENBARK, Human and in her individual capacity; TRACEY colice Department, in her official and nimal control of Whiteville Police capacity; JEFFERSON WEAVER, an ompany, Incorporated, in is official and
Defendants - Appellees	
Appeal from the United States District Cour Wilmington. Terrence W. Boyle, District Ju	t for the Eastern District of North Carolina, at adge. (7:16-cv-00133-BO)
Submitted: August 18, 2017	Decided: August 31, 2017
Before TRAXLER, FLOYD, and THACKE	R, Circuit Judges.
Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion	1.

Calvin Tyrone Norton, Appellant Pro Se. Clay Allen Collier, CROSSLEY MCINTOSH COLLIER HANLEY & EDES PLLC, Wilmington, North Carolina; Carolyn Amanda Martin, STEVENS MARTIN VAUGHN & TADYCH, PLLC, Raleigh, North Carolina, for Appellees.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Calvin Tyrone Norton appeals the district court's order granting Defendants' motions to dismiss and dismissing his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (2012) action. Defendants' motions to dismiss were properly construed as motions for judgment on the pleadings. *See Burbach Broad. Co. of Del. v. Elkins Radio Corp.*, 278 F.3d 401, 405 (4th Cir. 2002). Thus, we review the district court's ruling on those motions de novo, applying the same standard used in evaluating a motion under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6). *Belmora LLC v. Bayer Consumer Care AG*, 819 F.3d 697, 705 (4th Cir. 2016), *cert. denied*, 137 S. Ct. 1202 (2017); *see Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 555, 570 (2007) (describing standard of review).

On appeal, we limit our review to the issues raised in Norton's informal brief. *See Jackson v. Lightsey*, 775 F.3d 170, 177 (4th Cir. 2014). Our review of the record reveals no reversible error in the district court's dismissal of Norton's Fourth Amendment claim. *See Alvarez v. Montgomery County*, 147 F.3d 354, 358 (4th Cir. 1998); *see also Taylor v. Mich. Dep't of Nat. Res.*, 502 F.3d 452, 454-57 (6th Cir. 2007); *United States v. Weston*, 443 F.3d 661, 667 (8th Cir. 2006). Nor do we find error in the district court's dismissal of Norton's Sixth Amendment claim. *See United States v. Zucker*, 161 U.S. 475, 481 (1896); *Al-Bihani v. Obama*, 590 F.3d 866, 879 (D.C. Cir. 2010).

Norton also challenges the district court's dismissal of his claims against The News Reporter, Inc. and Jefferson Weaver. The district court correctly concluded that Norton failed to allege a basis for § 1983 liability against these Defendants. *See Phillips v. Pitt Cty. Mem'l Hosp.*, 572 F.3d 176, 181 (4th Cir. 2009); *Wahi v. Charleston Area*

Med. Ctr., Inc., 562 F.3d 599, 615-16 (4th Cir. 2009). Further, the court appropriately declined to exercise supplemental jurisdiction over Norton's state law claims. See 28 U.S.C. § 1367(c)(3) (2012); Shanaghan v. Cahill, 58 F.3d 106, 110 (4th Cir. 1995).

Accordingly, we affirm the district court's judgment. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED