UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

| • | | |
|---|-----------------------|------------------------------------|
| <u>.</u> | No. 17-1298 | |
| REGINALD D. EVANS, | | |
| Plaintiff - Appellant, | | |
| v. | | |
| COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SI | ECURITY ADMINI | STRATION; EXEL INC, |
| Defendants - Appellee | es. | |
| | | |
| Appeal from the United States Dis Richard Mark Gergel, District Judg | | |
| Submitted: July 27, 2017 | | Decided: July 31, 2017 |
| Before AGEE and FLOYD, Circuit | t Judges, and HAMI | LTON, Senior Circuit Judge. |
| Dismissed and remanded by unpub | olished per curiam op | vinion. |
| Reginald D. Evans, Appellant Pro Attorney, Columbia, South Carolin | | er Bowens, Assistant United States |
| Unpublished opinions are not hindi | ing precedent in this | circuit |

PER CURIAM:

Reginald D. Evans appeals the district court's order dismissing without prejudice his claims against the Social Security Administration ("SSA") but granting leave to amend his complaint to add a claim under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act against Exel, Inc. After the district court filed its order, the court accepted Evans' amended complaint. "[W]e have an independent obligation to verify the existence of appellate jurisdiction" and may exercise jurisdiction only over final orders and certain interlocutory and collateral orders. *Porter v. Zook*, 803 F.3d 694, 696 (4th Cir. 2015) (internal quotation marks omitted); *see* 28 U.S.C. §§ 1291, 1292 (2012); Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(b); *Cohen v. Beneficial Indus. Loan Corp.*, 337 U.S. 541 (1949). "Ordinarily, a district court order is not final until it has resolved *all* claims as to all parties." *Porter*, 803 F.3d at 696 (internal quotation marks omitted). "Regardless of the label given a district court decision, if it appears from the record that the district court has not adjudicated all of the issues in a case, then there is no final order." *Id*.

Although the district court dismissed all claims against the SSA, it allowed Evans to amend his complaint to add a new claim against a new defendant, and the record reflects that Evans did in fact file such an amended complaint. Because Evans still has a viable claim in this ongoing matter, the district court did not issue "a final decision," and we lack jurisdiction over Evans' appeal. *Id.* at 699.

Accordingly, we dismiss the appeal for lack of jurisdiction and remand to the district court. We express no view on the ultimate disposition of Evans' remaining claim. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately

presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED AND REMANDED